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l_{\mathrm{P}}=\sqrt{\frac{G \hbar}{c^{3}}} \approx 10^{-35} \mathrm{~m} \quad E_{\mathrm{P}}=\sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^{5}}{G}} \approx 10^{16} \mathrm{TeV} \quad t_{\mathrm{P}}=\sqrt{\frac{G \hbar}{c^{5}}} \approx 10^{-44} \mathrm{~s}
$$
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## Quantum Information and Foundations

QI: Information-processing capabilities afforded by quantum systems


No-cloning theorem


Quantum Teleportation


Superdense coding


Shor's algorithm


Quantum key distribution


Grover's algorithm
By Fawly - Own work
ommons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid $=106362482$
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Violation of causal inequalities


1. Finiteness. If a system carries one bit of information, then each state is characterised by the outcome probabilities of a finite set of measurements.
2. Local tomography. The state of a composite system is fully characterised by the statistics of measurements performed on the subsystems.
3. Equivalence of subspaces. Systems that carry the same amount of information have isomorphic state spaces.
4. Symmetry. Any pure state can be reversibly transformed into any other pure state.
5. All measurements are allowed. Every mathematically well defined effect on a system carrying one bit corresponds to a possible measurement.
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## Quantum Information and Foundations

## Significant-Loophole-Free Test of Bell's Theorem with Entangled Photons

Marissa Giustina, Marijn A. M. Versteegh, Sören Wengerowsky, Johannes Handsteiner, Armin Hochrainer, Kevin 1 ) Phelan, Fabian Steinlechner, Johannes Kofler, Jan-Åke Larsson, Carlos Abellán, Waldimar Amaya, Valerio Pruneri, Morgan W. Mitchell, Jörn Beyer, Thomas Gerrits, Adriana E. Lita, Lynden K. Shalm, Sae Woo Nam, Thomas Scheidl, Rupert Ursin, Bernhard Wittmann, and Anton Zeilinger
Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 250401 - Published 16 December 2015
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Quantum Reference Frames



Indefinite causality


Oreshkov, Costa, Brukner



Geometry from entanglement
Cao, Carrol, Michalakis

Vantrietvelde, Höhn, Giacomini, Castro-Ruiz


Low energy tests of quantum gravity!
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Gravitationally Induced Entanglement between Two Massive Particles is Sufficient Evidence of Quantum Effects in Gravity
C. Marletto and V. Vedral

Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 240402 - Published 13 December 2017

## Spin Entanglement Witness for Quantum Gravity

Sougato Bose, Anupam Mazumdar, Gavin W. Morley, Hendrik Ulbricht, Marko Toroš, Mauro Paternostro, Andrew A. Geraci, Peter F. Barker, M. S. Kim, and Gerard Milburn
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## GME

## space
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## Superposition

$|\uparrow \uparrow\rangle\left|g_{L L}\right\rangle+|\uparrow \downarrow\rangle\left|g_{L R}\right\rangle+|\downarrow \uparrow\rangle\left|g_{R L}\right\rangle+|\downarrow \downarrow\rangle\left|g_{R R}\right\rangle$
Free Fall

$$
e^{i \phi_{L L}}|\uparrow \uparrow\rangle\left|g_{L L}\right\rangle+e^{i \phi_{L R}}|\uparrow \downarrow\rangle\left|g_{L R}\right\rangle+e^{i \phi_{R L}}|\downarrow \uparrow\rangle\left|g_{R L}\right\rangle+e^{i \phi_{R R}}|\downarrow \downarrow\rangle\left|g_{R R}\right\rangle
$$

Recombination
$\left(e^{i \phi_{L L}}|\uparrow \uparrow\rangle+e^{i \phi_{L R}}|\uparrow \downarrow\rangle+e^{i \phi_{R L}}|\downarrow \uparrow\rangle+e^{i \phi_{R R}}|\downarrow \downarrow\rangle\right)\left|g_{C C}\right\rangle$

Measurements
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Measurements

$$
e^{i \phi_{L L}}|\uparrow \uparrow\rangle+e^{i \phi_{L R}}|\uparrow \downarrow\rangle+e^{i \phi_{R L}}|\downarrow \uparrow\rangle+e^{i \phi_{R R}}|\downarrow \downarrow\rangle
$$

## GME

## space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{i \phi_{L R}|\uparrow \uparrow\rangle+e^{i \phi_{L L}}|\uparrow \downarrow\rangle+e^{i \phi_{R R}}|\downarrow \uparrow\rangle+e^{i \phi_{R L}}|\downarrow \downarrow\rangle} \\
& \phi_{L R}=\frac{G m^{2}}{d+2 l} \frac{t}{\hbar} \quad \phi_{R R}=\frac{G m^{2}}{d+l} \frac{t}{\hbar}=\phi_{L L}
\end{aligned}
$$
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m \approx 10^{-14} \mathrm{~kg} \approx 10^{-6} m_{\mathrm{P}} \\
t \approx 1 \mathrm{~s} \quad d \approx 200 \mu \mathrm{~m} \quad l \approx 250 \mu \mathrm{~m} \\
\Longrightarrow \Delta \phi=\phi_{R L}+\phi_{L R}-2 \phi_{L L} \approx 1
\end{gathered}
$$

A prediction of linearised quantum gravity.
Not explainable in terms of semi-classical gravity
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## Simulating GME



$$
\mathbb{C}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{4} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}=\mathscr{H}_{\text {spin }_{A}} \otimes \mathscr{H}_{\text {geometry }} \otimes \mathscr{H}_{\text {spin }_{B}}
$$

## Quantum Circuit



## Optics Simulation



Two-photon scheme:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { spins } & \rightarrow \text { polarisation } \\
\text { geometry } & \rightarrow \text { path }
\end{array}
$$

Entanglement witness separable $\Longrightarrow W \geq 0$

$$
W^{\exp }=-0.514(2)
$$

CHSH inequality violation classical bound $S \leq 2$

$$
S^{\exp }=2.401(15)
$$

## Optics Simulation



## Optics Simulation



## Optics Simulation

Four-photon scheme: Each qubit mapped to photon path
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## LOCC

## Local Operations and Classical Communication cannot

 create entanglement.
> "If $A$ and $B$ get entangled by interacting only with $G$, then $G$ cannot be classical."

## Formalised in QI and GPTs.

The experiment can rule out a class of theories.

## Instantaneous interaction?



Extant derivations of the effect made use of the static approximation.

In that case, the effect can be explained by a direct interparticle interaction:

$$
\hat{H}_{\mathrm{int}}=-\frac{G m_{A} m_{B}}{\left|\hat{x}_{A}-\hat{x}_{B}\right|}
$$

We make use of the path-integral formulation of QM to compute the phases developed during the experiment.

## Path Integral

$$
Z \propto \int \mathscr{D} x_{1} \mathscr{D} x_{2} \mathscr{D} F e^{i S\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, F\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] / \hbar\right.}
$$

$\xrightarrow{\text { space }}$


## Path Integral

$$
Z \propto \int \mathscr{D} x_{1} \mathscr{D} x_{2} \mathscr{D} F e^{i S\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, F\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\right] / \hbar}
$$

$\xrightarrow{\text { space }}$


Assume the paths of the particles is imposed by the interaction with an external field.

## Path Integral

$$
Z \propto \int \mathscr{D} x_{1} \mathscr{D} x_{2} \mathscr{D} F e^{i S\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, F\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\right] / \hbar}
$$

$\xrightarrow{\text { space }}$


Assume the paths of the particles is imposed by the interaction with an external field.

Stationary phase approximation.

## Path Integral

$$
Z \propto \int \mathscr{D} x_{1} \mathscr{D} x_{2} \mathscr{D} F e^{i S\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, F\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\right] / \hbar}
$$

$\xrightarrow{\text { space }}$

Assume the paths of the particles is imposed by the interaction with an external field.
Stationary phase approximation.

$$
\phi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\frac{i S^{\mathrm{OS}}\left[x_{1}^{s_{1}}, x_{2}^{s_{2}}, F\left[x_{1}^{s_{1}}, x_{2}^{s_{2}}\right]\right]}{\hbar}
$$
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- Gauge-invariant + Lorentz covariant (manifestly local)

$$
\phi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\frac{i S^{\operatorname{os}\left[x_{1}^{s_{1}}, x_{2}^{s_{2}}, F\left[x_{1}^{s_{1}}, x_{2}^{s_{2}}\right]\right]}}{\hbar}
$$

- Phases are actions, have the same symmetries
- Gauge-invariant + Lorentz covariant (manifestly local)
- Can be computed for arbitrary particle trajectories.
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Exact formula


$$
S_{h}=\frac{G}{c^{4}} \int d t\left(\frac{m_{1} m_{2} V_{1 \mu \nu}(t) \bar{V}_{2}^{\mu \nu}\left(t_{21}\right)}{\left|d_{21}(t)\right|-d_{21}(t) \cdot v_{2}\left(t_{21}\right) / c}+1 \leftrightarrow 2\right)
$$

Small velocities

$$
S_{h}=\frac{G}{2} \int d t\left(\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{\left|d_{21}(t)\right|}+\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{\left|d_{12}(t)\right|}\right)
$$

Newtonian

$$
S_{F}=\int d t \frac{G m_{1} m_{2}}{|d(t)|}
$$

## Observable effects



$$
\phi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\frac{G}{2 \hbar} \int d t\left(\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{\left|d_{21}(t)\right|}+\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{\left|d_{12}(t)\right|}\right)
$$

If superposition happens in spacelike separated regions $\Longrightarrow$ no entanglement!

Signal of the superposition needs time to propagate casually between masses.

Signal of the superposition needs time to propagate casually between masses.

Smaller deviations due to retarded interaction could be measured in electron interferometry-for the EM case!
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$$

$$
|\uparrow\rangle+e^{i \phi}|\downarrow\rangle
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## Setup

## Time dilation

$$
\tau(r)=\sqrt{\left|g_{00}(r)\right|} t=\sqrt{1-\frac{2 G M}{r}} t \approx\left(1-\frac{G M}{r}\right) t
$$

Phases due to proper time differences

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi=\frac{m c^{2}}{\hbar} \delta \tau=\frac{m}{m_{P}} \frac{\delta \tau}{t_{P}} \\
\delta \tau=\frac{G M}{c^{2}} \frac{l}{d(d+l)} t
\end{gathered}
$$

## Hypothesis
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$\phi=\frac{m}{m_{P}} \frac{\delta \tau}{t_{P}}$

$$
\delta \tau=n t_{P}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

$|\uparrow\rangle+e^{i \phi}|\downarrow\rangle$


## Results


$|\uparrow\rangle+e^{i \phi}|\downarrow\rangle$
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## Tensions

Operational formulations of QM are strongly time-oriented.

Quantum states are associated with the past of a system.
Probabilities are about future results.


In tension with time-reversal symmetry of the rest of fundamental physics.

An issue for the reconstructions of quantum mechanics.
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## Resolution

Does quantum uncertainty imply time orientation?
No.

Then why are certain formulations of quantum theory time-oriented?

They are designed to describe the interaction of macroscopic thermodynamical systems with quantum systems.

We remember the past, but not the future.

## Two games

Measurement

Preparation



Prediction


Postdiction

## Inference Symmetry

A process $\Phi$ is inference symmetric if:

$$
P_{p r e}\left(x_{j} \mid a_{i}, \Phi\right)=P_{p o s t}\left(a_{i} \mid x_{j}, \Phi\right)
$$

for any choice of bases.

A kind of passive time-reversal symmetry.
Unitary evolution is inference symmetric.
Quantum channels are not inference symmetric.

## Inference Symmetry

A process $\Phi$ is inference symmetric if:

$$
P_{\text {pre }}\left(x_{j} \mid a_{i}, \Phi\right)=P_{\text {post }}\left(a_{i} \mid x_{j}, \Phi\right)
$$

for any choice of bases.

A kind of passive time-reversal symmetry.
Unitary evolution is inference symmetric.
Quantum channels are not inference symmetric.

The inference asymmetry of quantum channels is understood as an asymmetry in the inference data.

## Purification

$$
P_{p o s t}(a \mid x, \Phi)=P_{p o s t}\left(a \mid x b, U_{\Phi}\right)
$$

## Why the asymmetry?

Time-asymmetry due to the users of QM .

QI is about correlations established between agents.

The agent is not explicitly modelled by the theory, but represented in the mathematical objects in the theory.

## Plan

- Part II: Conceptual investigations
- The arrow of time in operational formulations of QT
- The relational interpretation of $\mathbf{Q M}$.


## Map of Madness

|  | $\psi$-Ontic | $\psi$-Epistemic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type-I <br> (intrinsic realism) | Bohmian mechanics ${ }^{10,11}$ <br> Many worlds ${ }^{12,13}$ <br> Modal ${ }^{14,15}$ <br> Bell's "beables" 16 <br> Collapse theories*17,18 | Einstein ${ }^{19}$ <br> Ballentine ${ }^{20}$ <br> Consistent histories ${ }^{21,22}$ Spekkens ${ }^{23}$ |

+ objective collapse models: Penrose-Diósi, GRW...

|  | About knowledge | About belief |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type-II <br> (participatory realism) | Copenhagen ${ }^{24,25}$ <br> Wheeler ${ }^{26,27}$ <br> Relational ${ }^{28,29}$ <br> Zeilinger ${ }^{3,30}$ <br> No "interpretation" 31 <br> Brukner ${ }^{32}$ | QBism ${ }^{33-35}$ |

arxiv:1509.04711 (quant-ph)

Interpretations of quantum theory: A map of madness
Adán Cabello

## Interpretations of quantum mechanics:

- Surprisingly different pictures of the world
- Designed to give the same predictions (except for objective collapse)
- But experimental metaphysics can put constraints on them.


## No-Go theorems

Put constraints on various features of an interpretation.

Bell's 1967 theorem says QM is incompatible with:

- Relativistic causality

- Reichenbach's principle of decorrelating explanation $\quad P(a b \mid c)=P(a \mid c) P(b \mid c)$
- No Superdeterminism
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Put constraints on various features of an interpretation.

Bell's 1967 theorem says QM is incompatible with:

- Relativistic causality

- Reichenbach's principle of decorrelating explanation $\quad P(a b \mid c)=P(a \mid c) P(b \mid c)$
- No Superdeterminism

Implicit assumption: Absoluteness of observed events

## No-Go theorems

Recent theorem by Bong et.al. shows that QM is incompatible with

- Locality
- No Superdeterminism
- Absoluteness of observed events


Relational Quantum Mechanics is an interpretation of QM that embraces the relativity of facts.

In RQM, facts are relations established between two systems.
What is a fact relative to a given system might not be a fact relative to another.
"Wigner's facts are not necessarily his friend's facts"

Facts can happen relative to any physical system.

How does the classical world emerge from the world of relative facts?

To what extent the relativity of facts is analogous with special relativity?

How can objectivity be achieved when facts are not shared?
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- Computing the phases from first principles
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- The arrow of time in operational formulations of QT
- The relational interpretation of QM.
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